United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,463	09/30/2003	Andrew Jarabek	PAT 2515-2-US	5553
26123 7590 02/07/2008 BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP Anne Kinsman			EXAMINER	
			HAN, CLEMENCE S	
	HANGE PLAZA TREET SUITE 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9			2616	
CANADA	•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

		9 14			
r	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/675,463	JARABEK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clemence Han	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
	DLV IC CET TO EVDIDE AM	ONTUVE) OF TURTY (20) DAVE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 9 November 2007</u> .	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	(a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matic	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show 1. every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "adjusting pointer" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show pointer offset '522' and pointer offset '492' in Figure 4 as described in the specification page 10 line 9-10. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2616

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claim 11-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claim 11, the phrase "output associated with a memory" in line 7, renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear what it means. It is also unclear whether it is the same as the received data in line 4 or not.
- 6. Claim 21 recites the limitation "the memory" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claim 1-6, 11-16 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baydar et al. (US 5,717,693).

Regarding claim 1, 11 and 19, Baydar teaches a method for managing latency comprising: receiving data from a high-order synchronous transport module (STM) and synchronous transport signal (STS) sources and low-order tributary unit (TU) and virtual tributary (VT) sources (Column 6 Line 28-31); providing a provisioning bit for each output associated with a memory (Column 7 Line 10-12); and adjusting a pointer for the

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

low-order sources based on the provisioning bit such that the high-order and low-order outputs are synchronized (Column 7 Line 8-9).

Regarding claim 2 and 12, Baydar teaches determining an adjustment for the pointer based on a predetermined delay (Column 3 Line 56-65).

Regarding claim 3, 13 and 20, Baydar teaches adjusting the pointer includes adjusting the pointer by a predetermined number of time slots (Column 3 Line 56-65).

Regarding claim 4 and 14, Baydar teaches assembling synchronized outputs (Column 7 Line 8-9).

Regarding claim 5, 15 and 21, Baydar teaches adjusting the pointer to synchronize the output of the high-order and low-order sources, wherein the high-order sources incur less delay to pass through the memory than low-order sources (Column 3 Line 56-65).

Regarding claim 6, 16 and 22, Baydar teaches a provisioning bit includes storing the provisioning bit in a connection memory (Column 6 Line 40-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 7-10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baydar et al..

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 7-10, 17 and 18, Baydar teaches a method for managing latency comprising: receiving data from a high-order synchronous transport module (STM) and synchronous transport signal (STS) sources and low-order tributary unit (TU) and virtual tributary (VT) sources (Column 6 Line 28-31); providing a provisioning bit for each output associated with a memory (Column 7 Line 10-12); and adjusting a pointer for the low-order sources based on the provisioning bit such that the high-order and low-order outputs are synchronized (Column 7 Line 8-9). Baydar, however, does not teach exact details on how to adjusting the pointer based on whether the provisioning bit is set or not set. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Baydar to adjust the pointer based on whether the provisioning bit is set or not as claimed in order to accommodate different design choice.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 11/09/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to page 8, the applicant argues that the drawing correction is not necessary. The examiner agrees that every point offset is not needed to be shown. However, the step of adjusting a pointer is a part of limitation and is needed to be shown more clearly. For example, the position 54a is described as pointer offset 492 in the specification and shown under the STS-1 byte number 780 in Figure 4. In response to applicant's argument that Baydar uses a provisional bit for a different purpose than that of the claimed invention in page 9, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In response to page 10, the applicant argues that Baydar does not teach adjusting the pointer by a predetermined delay or a predetermined number of time slots. Baydar teaches using elastic store monitor to determine when the adjustment is needed (Column 8 Line 1-17) and adjusting the pointer by a predetermined delay or a predetermined number of time slots (Column 22 Line 54-57). Therefore, the examiner contends that the prior arts teach the limitations as recited in the claims.

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10/675,463

Art Unit: 2616

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

City

Clemence Han

Examiner

Art Unit 2616

HUY D. VL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600